

POLS 5377 Scope & Method of Political Science

Week 15 Measure of Association - 2

Correlation within SPSS

2

Key Questions:

- * How to compute and interpret the following measures in SPSS
 - * Ordinal Variable – Gamma (G)
 - * Ordinal Variables – Spearman's rho (r_s)
 - * Interval Variables – Pearson's r (r)

Outline

- * SPSS: Ordinal Variables – Gamma (G)
- * SPSS: Ordinal Variables – Spearman's rho (r_s)
- * SPSS: Interval Variables – Pearson's r (r)

Conducting Correlation within SPSS

- * In real world, we often have to make inference with the data contains hundreds or thousands of cases. It is difficult to compute the measures of association without using a computer.
- * We will learn how to generate the statistic results of measures of association with SPSS and interpret the results
 - * Ordinal variables with a few categories: **Gamma (G)**
 - * Ordinal variables with a broad range of scores: **Spearman's rho (r_s)**
 - * Interval/ratio variables: **Pearson's r**

5

SPSS: Ordinal Variable – Gamma (G)

- * When measure the association for ORDINAL variables that have a few categories: Gamma (G)

- * SPSS procedures
 - * [Analyze] → [Descriptive Statistics] → [Crosstabs]
 - * Place dependent variables in the **Row** box
 - * Place independent variables in the **Column** box
 - * Click the **Statistics** button, select **Chi-square** and **Gamma**
 - * Click the **Cells** button, select **column** in the **Percentage** box
 - * Proceed the analysis

6

SPSS: Ordinal Variable – Gamma (G)

- * Example: Is there an association between education level and attitude toward women working?
 - * Dataset: GSS2010_POLS5377
 - * DV (Row): attitude toward woman working (fefam)
 - * IV (Column): Education Level (degree)
- * Step 1 Meet requirements:
 - * Ordinal variables with a few categories
- * Step 2 Null hypothesis
 - * H_0 : There is no relationship between education level and attitude toward women working
- * Step 3 Critical Region
 - * $\alpha=0.05$

SPSS: Ordinal Variable – Gamma (G)

BETTER FOR MAN TO WORK, WOMAN TEND HOME * RS HIGHEST DEGREE Crosstabulation

| | | | RS HIGHEST DEGREE | | | | | Total |
|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-------------|----------------|----------|----------|--------|
| | | | LT HIGH SCHOOL | HIGH SCHOOL | JUNIOR COLLEGE | BACHELOR | GRADUATE | |
| BETTER FOR MAN TO WORK, WOMAN TEND HOME | STRONGLY AGREE | Count | 22 | 31 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 68 |
| | | % within RS HIGHEST DEGREE | 15.9% | 6.5% | 4.1% | 5.1% | 3.0% | 7.0% |
| | AGREE | Count | 51 | 132 | 20 | 27 | 15 | 245 |
| | | % within RS HIGHEST DEGREE | 37.0% | 27.7% | 27.0% | 15.3% | 15.0% | 25.4% |
| | DISAGREE | Count | 52 | 232 | 35 | 98 | 50 | 467 |
| | | % within RS HIGHEST DEGREE | 37.7% | 48.6% | 47.3% | 55.7% | 50.0% | 48.4% |
| | STRONGLY DISAGREE | Count | 13 | 62 | 16 | 42 | 32 | 165 |
| | | % within RS HIGHEST DEGREE | 9.4% | 17.2% | 21.6% | 23.9% | 32.0% | 19.2% |
| Total | Count | | 138 | 477 | 74 | 176 | 100 | 965 |
| | % within RS HIGHEST DEGREE | | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% | 100.0% |

Chi-Square Tests

| | Value | df | Asymp. Sig. (2-sided) |
|------------------------------|---------------------|----|-----------------------|
| Pearson Chi-Square | 63.418 ^a | 12 | .000 |
| Likelihood Ratio | 61.385 | 12 | .000 |
| Linear-by-Linear Association | 47.647 | 1 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 965 | | |

a. 0 cells (0.0%) have expected count less than 5. The minimum expected count is 5.21.

P value < 0.05

Symmetric Measures

| | Value | Asymp. Std. Error ^a | Approx. T ^b | Approx. Sig. |
|--------------------|------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|--------------|
| Ordinal by Ordinal | Gamma .296 | .039 | 7.376 | .000 |
| N of Valid Cases | 965 | | | |

a. Not assuming the null hypothesis.

b. Using the asymptotic standard error assuming the null hypothesis.

- * Degree of freedom (df) = (R-1)*(C-1)=(5-1)*(4-1)=12
- * $\chi^2(\text{obtained}) = 63.418$
- * Test result: significance value (p value) = .000 < $\alpha=0.05$. Reject H_0
- * **Gamma= 0.296** indicates the relationship is **positive** and **“weak to moderate”**

SPSS: Ordinal Variable – Gamma (G)

- * Interpret the result:
 - * At the significance level of 0.05, the test of chi square is significant (p value = 0.000 < 0.05), so we **reject** H_0 . The data suggests there is a significant relationship between education level and attitude toward women working.
 - * According to the Gamma statistic, +0.296, the education level is **positively** associated with the attitude toward women working. The strength of the association is ranked as **weak to moderate**. The people with higher education level are more likely to support women working (more likely to disagree the statement of “it’s better for man to work, woman tend home”).

| If the value is | Strength of the relationship |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Between 0.00 and 0.30 | Weak |
| Between 0.31 and 0.60 | Moderate |
| Greater than 0.60 | Strong |

SPSS: Ordinal Variable – Spearman's rho (r_s)

- * When measure the association for ORDINAL variables that have a broad scores: **Spearman's rho**
- * SPSS procedures
 - * [Analyze] → [Correlate] → [Bivariate]
 - * Place both dependent and independent variables in the **Variables** box
 - * Select **Spearman** in the box labeled "Correlation Coefficients" and **uncheck Pearson**.
 - * Select between **one-tailed** and **two-tailed** test according to the research expectation
 - * Proceed the analysis

SPSS: Ordinal Variable – Spearman's rho (r_s)

- * Example: Is there an association between family income and the frequency of attending religious services?
 - * Dataset: GSS2010_POLS5377
 - * Variables:
 - * frequency of attending religious services (attend)
 - * total family income (income06)
 - * Step 1 Meet requirements:
 - * Ordinal variables with a broad range of scores
 - * Step 2 Null hypothesis
 - * H_0 : There is no relationship between family income and the frequency of attending religious services
 - * Step 3 Critical Region
 - * $\alpha=0.05$ and Two-tailed

SPSS: Ordinal Variable – Spearman's rho (r_s)

Symmetrical
matrix

Correlations

| | | HOW OFTEN R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES | TOTAL FAMILY INCOME |
|----------------|--|---|----------------------|
| Spearman's rho | HOW OFTEN R ATTENDS RELIGIOUS SERVICES | Correlation Coefficient Sig. (2-tailed) N | 1.000 . 1451 |
| | TOTAL FAMILY INCOME | Correlation Coefficient Sig. (2-tailed) N | .015 .583 1288 |
| | | | 1.000 . 1290 |

Spearman's rho

Significance of the test
P value > 0.05

A variable will always have a perfect correlation with itself

- * Test result: significance value (p value) = 0.583 > $\alpha=0.05$.
- * The relationship is not significant. We fail to reject H_0 . The two variables are not associated.
- * **Spearman rho = 0.015** indicates the relationship is **positive** and **weak** (No significant relationship anyways)

SPSS: Ordinal Variable – Spearman's rho (r_s)

- * Interpret the result:
 - * At the significance level of 0.05, the p value of 0.574 indicates that we **fail to reject** H_0 . The data suggests there is no significant relationship between family income and the frequency of attending religious services.
 - * According to the Spearman rho of 0.015 suggests that there is a **very weak** relationship between the family income and the frequency of attending religious services.

| If the value is | Strength of the relationship |
|-----------------------|------------------------------|
| Between 0.00 and 0.30 | Weak |
| Between 0.31 and 0.60 | Moderate |
| Greater than 0.60 | Strong |

SPSS: Interval Variables – Pearson's r

- * When measure the association for INTERVAL variables:
Pearson's r
- * SPSS procedures
 - * [Analyze] → [Correlate] → [Bivariate]
 - * Place both dependent and independent variables in the **Variables** box
 - * Make sure **Pearson** in the box labeled "Correlation Coefficients" is checked
 - * Select between **one-tailed** and **two-tailed** test according to the research expectation
 - * Proceed the analysis

SPSS: Interval Variables – Pearson's r

- * Example: Is there an association between watching TV and being success?
 - * Dataset: GSS2010_POLS5377
 - * Variables:
 - * Hours per day watching TV (tvhours)
 - * Total family income (income06)
 - * Step 1 Meet requirements:
 - * Interval variables and a ordinal variable with a broad range of scores
 - * Step 2 Null hypothesis
 - * H_0 : There is no relationship between watching TV and being success
 - * Step 3 Critical Region
 - * $\alpha=0.05$ and two-tailed

SPSS: Interval Variables – Pearson's r

Symmetrical Correlations

| matrix | | HOURS PER DAY WATCHING TV | TOTAL FAMILY INCOME |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------------|------------------------|
| HOURS PER DAY WATCHING TV | Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N | 1 974 | -.283** .000 868 |
| TOTAL FAMILY INCOME | Pearson Correlation Sig. (2-tailed) N | -.283** .000 868 | 1 1290 |

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

A variable will always have a perfect correlation with itself

Pearson's r

Significance of the test
P value < 0.05

- * Test result: significance value (p value) = 0.000 < $\alpha=0.05$.
- * The relationship is significant. We reject H_0 . The two variables are associated.
- * **Pearson's $r = -0.283$** indicates the relationship is **negative** and **weak to moderate**

SPSS: Interval Variables – Pearson's r

- * Interpret the result:
 - * At the significance level of 0.05, the p value of 0.000 suggests that there is a significant relationship between two variables, we **reject** H_0 . The data suggests there is a significant relationship between family income and number of hours per day watching TV.
 - * According to the Pearson's r of **-0.283** suggests that there is a **negative** relationship between the family income and the hours of per day watching TV, and the strength of the relationship is **weak to moderate**.
 - * The result suggests that people with higher family income level spend less time watching TV. However, it doesn't mean people who spend many hours watching TV will cause lower family income. The correlation statistic only suggests there is a relationship, doesn't suggest a causality between the two variables.

After this lecture:

You should learn the following key concepts:

- * Know how to conduct correlation procedures with SPSS and how to interpret results